## United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge  CASE NUMBER  CASE  TITLE		~	nn F. Grady	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge					
		<b>R</b> 01	CR 348 - 7	7/28/2004					
			USA vs. Bonnie LaGiglio						
MO	TION:	(In the following b		ng the motion, e.g., plaintiff, defer	ndant, 3rd party plaintiff, and	(b) state briefly the nature			
DOC	CKET ENTRY			<del> </del>					
(1)	□ Fil	ed motion of [ use 1	isting in "Motion" bo	x above.]					
(2)	□ Br	ef in support of mo	tion due	·					
(3)	☐ Ar	swer brief to motion	n due Reply:	to answer brief due					
(4)	□ Ru	ling/Hearing on	set for a	t					
(5)	□ Sta	tus hearing[held/co	ntinued to] [set for/re	-set for] on set for	at				
(6)	□ Pr	etrial conference[he	ld/continued to] [set f	or/re-set for] on se	t for at				
(7)	□ Tr	al[set for/re-set for]	] on at	_ <b>-</b>					
(8)	□ [B	ench/Jury trial] [He	aring] held/continued	to at					
(9)				ice and without costs[by/agRCP41(a)(1)					
(10)	[Other docket entry] ENTER MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER: Defendant Bonnie LaGiglio's emergency motion for order for her release pending appeal is granted. The defendant Bonnie LaGiglio is ordered released pending appeal. The recognizance bond previously imposed by this court is reinstated.								
(11)	) <b>=</b> [F	or further detail see	order attached to the	original minute order.]					
	· .	ed, advised in open cour	t		2cetMSM	Document Number			
	No notices requir				number of notices				
<b>✓</b>	Notices mailed by Notified counsel	-		प्र •	UL 29 2004	/			
	Docketing to mai	•			uzie docketed				
	Mail AO 450 for Copy to judge/ma	gistrate judge.	S. DISTRICT GOUR		dockering deputy injuris	702			
		courtroom 6 ty	19 MA 82 JUL 4	OOZ	date mailed notice				
	AMM	deputy's initials	To The Bate	e/time received in	Mailing deputy initials				

01-348.041-JCD July 28, 2004

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

Doci	(ETED
------	-------

UNITED	STATES OF AMERICA,	)				JUL	243	2004
	Plaintiff,	)						
	v.	) )	No.	01	CR	348	- 7	
BONNIE	LAGIGLIO,	) )						
	Defendant.	)						

## MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

Before the court is the emergency motion of defendant Bonnie LaGiglio seeking an order for her release pending appeal. The Court of Appeals has remanded the case to this court for the limited purpose of reconsidering our decision on defendant's release pending appeal in light of <u>United States v. Booker</u>, No. 03-4225, 2004 WL 1535858 (7th Cir. July 9, 2004).

The statute governing bail pending appeal provides that a convicted person must be detained unless the district court finds that she is not a flight risk or a danger to the community and that her appeal "is not for the purpose of delay and raises a substantial question of law or fact likely to result in reversal [or] an order for a new trial." 18 U.S.C. § 3143(b)(1). "A court considering bail pending appeal must first determine whether the question raised by the appeal is 'substantial,' and then must

703

determine whether a[n] appellate ruling is likely to require reversal of the conviction or a new trial." <u>U.S. v. Shoffner</u>, 791 F.2d 586, 588 (7th Cir. 1986). A "substantial" question of law or fact has been defined as one that presents a close question or "one that very well could be decided the other way." <u>Id.</u> at 589.

One of the questions now presented or soon to be presented in LaGiglio's appeal deals with the effect of the Supreme Court's ruling in <u>Blakely v. Washington</u>, 124 S. Ct. 2531 (2004), and the Seventh Circuit's subsequent ruling in <u>Booker</u>, 2004 WL 1535858. In <u>Booker</u>, the Seventh Circuit held that in light of <u>Blakely</u>, the application of guidelines sentencing enhancements that require factual findings by the sentencing judge violates the Sixth Amendment.

LaGiglio was convicted of conspiracy to impede the collection of taxes in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371. Her sentence was enhanced pursuant to findings regarding the amount of tax loss and that the offense involved sophisticated means. Thus, it does appear that in light of <u>Booker</u>, LaGiglio raises a substantial question that is likely to require reversal, at least for the purpose of resentencing. We also find that the appeal is not for the purpose of delay and that LaGiglio is not a flight risk or a danger to the community.

Our disposition of LaGiglio's motion should not be taken as an indication of any view this court is likely to take regarding an

appropriate new sentence should there be a reversal and remand for resentencing. In the event of a holding that the substantive portions of the guidelines are not severable from the requirement that a judge find the facts relevant to a sentence, we intend to adopt the following procedure suggested in <a href="Booker">Booker</a>: "the judge . . . . can choose any sentence [within the statutory range] and in making [this] determination he is free to draw on the guidelines for recommendations as he sees fit." 2004 WL 1535858, at \*6.

For the reasons stated above, the defendant Bonnie LaGiglio is ordered released pending appeal. The recognizance bond previously imposed by this court is reinstated.

DATE:

July 28, 2004

ENTER:

John F. Grady, United States District Judge